Objectively Measured Physical Activity in Sixth-Grade Girls

Russell R. Pate, PhD; June Stevens, PhD; Charlotte Pratt, PhD; James F. Sallis, PhD; Kathryn H. Schmitz, PhD, MPH; Larry S. Webber, PhD; Gregory Welk, PhD; Deborah R. Young, PhD

Objectives: To describe the objectively measured physical activity characteristics of a diverse sample of sixthgrade girls, to examine influences on physical activity, and to report compliance with physical activity guidelines.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Six locations across the United States.

Participants: A total of 1578 sixth-grade girls. Accelerometers were worn for 7 days, and data for 6 days were included in the analyses.

Main Exposures: Race/ethnicity, free or reducedprice lunch, and geographic region.

Main Outcome Measures: Six operational definitions of adequate activity (60 or 30 minutes of daily moderate to vigorous physical activity at or above 4.6, 3.8, or 3.0 metabolic equivalents) were used to examine whether girls met physical activity guidelines.

Results: Average times spent in sedentary, light, moderate, and vigorous activities were 460, 342, 18, and 6 min/d, respectively. White girls were more active than girls in other race/ethnic groups, and girls who did not receive free or reduced-price lunch were more active than girls who did. Girls in western states were most active. Percentages of girls in compliance with the 6 thresholds for adequate activity varied widely and ranged from 0.6% to 100.0%.

Conclusions: When physical activity is measured objectively and a 4.6–metabolic equivalents cut point for moderate to vigorous physical activity is used, most sixthgrade girls do not meet guidelines for adequate physical activity. One notable finding was the effect of different accelerometer scoring protocols on estimates of compliance. Conceptual and empirical work is needed to define appropriate physical activity for youth using objective physical activity measures.

Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2006;160:1262-1268

Author Affiliations:

Department of Exercise Science, University of South Carolina, Columbia (Dr Pate); Departments of Nutrition and Epidemiology, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (Dr Stevens); National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Md (Dr Pratt); Department of Psychology, San Diego State University, San Diego, Calif (Dr Sallis); Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia (Dr Schmitz); Department of Biostatistics, Tulane University, New Orleans, La (Dr Webber); Department of Health and Human Performance, Iowa State University, Ames (Dr Welk); and Department of Kinesiology, University of Maryland, College Park (Dr Young).

UMEROUS GOVERNMENT health agencies and private organizations have emphasized the importance of physical activ-

ity to public health.¹⁻⁴ Among children and adolescents, higher levels of physical activity are associated with reduced risk of obesity, more favorable cardiovascular risk profiles, greater lean weight and bone mass, and enhanced psychological wellbeing.5-9 Because of the immediate and likely long-term benefits of physical activity for young people, several organizations have issued guidelines on participation in physical activity for children and adolescents. The consensus recommendation is that young people should participate in moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) for at least 60 minutes on most days.10-13

The significance of physical activity to the health of children and adolescents has led to increased interest in developing surveillance systems to monitor compliance with physical activity guidelines in young

people.14,15 Most large-scale studies of physical activity behavior have relied on self-report measures, but this approach has clear limitations for use with children.¹⁶ Because accelerometers have not yet been used in a surveillance capacity to evaluate large samples of youth in the United States, there is uncertainty regarding activity levels of youth and the percentage who meet current physical activity recommendations.17 Although accelerometers are objective measures, there are challenges in using them in studies of children and youth.18 For example, there is no consensus on the methods of converting accelerometer output to minutes of physical activity,¹⁹ so it is important to explore the effect of different accelerometer scoring protocols on the interpretation of results.

Results of previous studies in young people consistently have shown that physical activity decreases with increasing age and that girls tend to be less active than boys.^{14,15,17,20-22} Furthermore, there is some evidence that physical activity is associ-

WWW.ARCHPEDIATRICS.COM

ated with demographic factors such as socioeconomic status,^{23,24} race/ethnicity,^{20,25} and geographic location.¹ However, accelerometers have not been used in previous studies to examine the associations with these demographic factors in population-based samples of youth.

To respond to the need to increase physical activity in girls, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute is sponsoring the Trial of Activity for Adolescent Girls (TAAG), a multicenter study designed to promote physical activity in middle-school girls. The primary goal of TAAG is to determine if a physical activity intervention that links schools to community organizations reduces the age-related decrease in MVPA in girls in sixth through eighth grades. The purposes of this article are to describe the baseline physical activity characteristics of a diverse sample of sixth-grade girls by using an objective measure of physical activity and to examine the relation of race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and geographic region to physical activity levels in those girls. The percentage of girls meeting physical activity guidelines and the influence of varying accelerometer scoring protocols also are reported.

METHODS

SUBJECTS

This is a cross-sectional examination of sixth-grade girls recruited from 6 communities in the United States. Universitybased field centers were located in and around the cities of Tucson, Arizona; San Diego, California; New Orleans, Louisiana; Washington, DC, and Baltimore, Maryland; Minneapolis, Minnesota; and Columbia, South Carolina. Six middle schools in each community were recruited for the study. To be eligible, schools had to have a minimum of 90 girls in the eighth grade and offer physical education at all grade levels. Schools were selected for convenience but with ethnic diversity as a goal. Within each school, girls were selected by means of random sampling of all eligible sixth-grade girls. Girls were considered eligible unless they had a health problem that contraindicated physical activity or if a school administrator requested that the girl not be included in the study. Study coordination was provided by The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Project Office.

The study was approved by the participating universities' institutional review boards. Each participant's parent or guardian provided written informed consent, and all subjects assented to participation. Consent for measurement was obtained for 1721 girls. Differences in measurement rates across racial and ethnic groups were not significant. For the analyses described in this article, girls who were missing data for ethnicity (n=6), free or reduced-price lunch (n=27), or physical activity (n=110) were excluded. Thus, 1578 (91.7%) of 1721 girls with consent were included in the analysis sample.

MEASURES

Girls classified themselves in 1 of 5 race/ethnicity categories: Asian American, African American, Hispanic, white, or other. Girls were categorized as Hispanic if they indicated Hispanic ethnicity on the questionnaire. Otherwise, girls who indicated more than one race group or who selected "Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders" were classified as "other." Socioeconomic status was assessed by asking each girl if she received free or reduced-price school lunch. The answer set included the options "yes," "no," and "don't know." The investigators found that reported school lunch eligibility associated well with US Census figures for neighborhood income among TAAG subjects. Date of birth was self-reported. Weight and height were measured by using standard protocols.

Physical activity was measured by using accelerometers (MTI model 7164; Actigraph, Ft Walton Beach, Fla). Participating girls wore an accelerometer during most waking hours for 7 consecutive days. Accelerometers were initialized before data collection and were set to begin collecting data at 5:00 AM on the day after they were distributed to participants; thus, data for 6 complete days were available for analysis. Data were collected and stored in 30-second intervals. Trained and certified staff members provided detailed oral and written instruction on how and when to wear the monitors. Girls wore the accelerometer on their right hip, attached to a belt. They were asked to wear it all the time, except at night while sleeping and while bathing or swimming.

Measurement coordinators from each field site were trained and certified at a centralized training event. The TAAG Measurement Committee provided oversight for all measures. On the basis of the recommendations of a TAAG preliminary study, all data were collected during at least 2 separate calendar weeks in each participating school to minimize the school-level intraclass correlation between girls within a school.²⁶

DATA REDUCTION

Data from the accelerometers were downloaded to the same laptop computer that was used to initialize them. Accelerometer data were reduced by using methods previously described by Treuth et al.¹⁹ Briefly, missing accelerometry data within a girl's 6-day record were replaced via imputation based on the Expectation Maximization algorithm; details on the imputation methods are provided elsewhere.²⁷ On average, approximately 12 hours of data per girl were imputed across the 6 days of data collection. The count thresholds (counts × 30 seconds⁻¹) established by Treuth and colleagues¹⁹ in a study of eighth-grade girls were used for the activity intensities of interest: sedentary (\leq 50), light physical activity (51-1499), moderate physical activity (1500-2600), and vigorous physical activity (>2600).

The primary analyses performed in this study were conducted by using an accelerometry count cut point for moderateintensity physical activity (1500 counts per 30 seconds) corresponding to 4.6 metabolic equivalents (METs; 1 MET=3.5 mL $O_2 \times kg^{-1} \times min^{-1}$). Thirty-second increments with counts at or above that level were summed across the period between 6:00 AM and midnight to determine minutes of MVPA. Also, for each time increment above the 4.6-MET cut point, METs were estimated by using a regression equation.¹⁹ The MET-weighted minutes of MVPA were calculated as the sum of the MET values for all time increments above the 4.6-MET cut point. As previously reported, the 4.6-MET cut point was selected as the intensity that best discriminated between slow and brisk walking in eighth-grade girls.¹⁹ Two additional cut points for defining MVPA were used in some analyses. A 3.0-MET cut point (579 counts per 30 seconds) was used because it has been taken as the threshold for moderate-intensity physical activity in some studies of youth^{17,28,29} and is typically used in studies of adults.^{1,30} A 3.8-MET cut point (1047 counts per 30 seconds) was used because it is the intensity midway between the means for slow and brisk walking in the accelerometry calibration study.¹⁹

Because accelerometry is a recent innovation in public health surveillance of physical activity, there is no broad consensus as to how physical activity guidelines should be defined in terms of accelerometry data. Accordingly, compliance with physical

Characteristic	California (n = 291)	Arizona (n = 229)	Minnesota (n = 269)	Louisiana (n = 286)	South Carolina (n = 274)	Maryland (n = 229)
Age, y, mean (SD)	11.8 (0.4)	12.0 (0.3)	12.0 (0.4)	12.3 (0.7)	12.1 (0.5)	11.7 (0.4)
Weight, kg, mean (SD)	47.1 (12.7)	46.6 (12.8)	47.5 (11.3)	51.7 (14.4)	52.0 (16.6)	48.5 (14.8
Height, cm, mean (SD)	150.8 (7.0)	151.9 (7.7)	153.9 (7.3)	153.4 (7.7)	153.5 (7.7)	150.8 (7.4)
Ethnicity, No. (%)					. ,	
Asian American	14 (4.8)	6 (2.6)	10 (3.7)	10 (3.5)	7 (2.6)	14 (6.1)
African American	18 (6.2)	7 (3.1)	5 (1.9)	154 (53.8)	102 (37.2)	58 (25.3
Hispanic	122 (41.9)	86 (37.6)	27 (10.0)	56 (19.6)	18 (6.6)	34 (14.8
White	104 (35.7)	122 (53.3)	210 (78.1)	44 (15.4)	121 (44.2)	105 (45.9
Other	33 (11.3)	8 (3.5)	17 (6.3)	22 (7.7)	26 (9.5)	18 (7.9)
School lunch subsidy, No. (%)						
No	143 (49.1)	122 (53.3)	136 (50.6)	56 (19.6)	137 (50.0)	126 (55.0
Yes	115 (39.5)	80 (34.9)	64 (23.8)	218 (76.2)	111 (40.5)	73 (31.9
Don't know	33 (11.3)	27 (11.8)	69 (25.7)	12 (4.2)	26 (9.5)	30 (13.1

activity guidelines was calculated by using 6 different operational definitions. In the first definition, a girl was considered compliant if she had accumulated 60 or more minutes of physical activity at or above a cut point of 4.6 METs on 4 or more of the 6 days on which the accelerometer recorded physical activity data. The second definition was similar but used the 3.8-MET cut point. A third definition used a cut point of 3.0 METs, a standard often used with adults.¹ We also examined compliance by using 30 min/d of physical activity as the standard instead of 60 minutes because 30 minutes of daily activity is the consensus guideline for adults.¹

STATISTICAL METHODS

Data were analyzed by using commercially available software (Statistical Analysis System, version 8.2, PROC MIXED and PROC GLIMMIX; SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC). Unadjusted means and frequencies were calculated. Mixed models were used to test for group differences in mean levels of activity, and generalized linear models with a logit link function were used to test for group differences in the percentage of girls who met physical activity guidelines. By treating "school" as a random effect, these models account for the correlation in responses resulting from clustering of girls within schools. Means, adjusted for clustering of girls nested within schools, and percentages were estimated by using least squares means based on the observed marginal (the OM option in SAS) distributions of categorical variables . Three-way and 2-way interactions between location, ethnicity, and lunch subsidy were tested.

RESULTS

Table 1 describes characteristics of the girls according to state, arbitrarily listed from west to east. The mean (SD) age of the girls ranged from 11.7 (0.4) years to 12.3 (0.7) years across the 6 sites. Each of 4 ethnic groups was represented at each of the 6 study sites, although distributions varied considerably. In Arizona, Maryland, Minnesota, and South Carolina, the highest percentage of girls was white; in California, the highest percentage was Hispanic; and in Louisiana, the highest percentage was African American. Fewer than 10 Asian American girls participated at the Arizona and South Carolina sites, and fewer than 10 African American girls participated at the Arizona and Minnesota sites. Overall, 706 white girls, 344 African American girls, 343 Hispanic girls, and 61 Asian

American girls participated. The percentage of girls who reported receiving subsidized school lunch ranged from 23.8% in Minnesota to 76.2% in Louisiana. Across the sites, 4.2% to 25.7% of girls did not know if they received a subsidy for school lunch.

Table 2 shows the adjusted mean minutes and unadjusted overall mean minutes of sedentary, light, moderate, and vigorous physical activity according to location, ethnicity, and school lunch subsidy status. Overall, on a daily basis, girls spent 459.9 minutes in sedentary activity, 341.6 minutes in light activity, 18.1 minutes in moderate activity, and 5.6 minutes in vigorous activity. Girls in Arizona and California had the smallest number of sedentary minutes and the largest number of moderate and vigorous minutes. Examination of adjusted means showed that Asian American girls had the largest number of sedentary minutes and the smallest number of moderate and vigorous minutes compared with the other racial/ethnic groups. White girls were more active than girls in other race/ethnic groups. Girls who reported they did not receive subsidized school lunch had the largest number of moderate and vigorous minutes.

As a first step in the examination of the independent effects of location, race/ethnicity, and subsidized school lunch, we tested the 3-way interaction and found that it was not statistically significant for any of the physical activity variables. We then tested 2-way interactions. Twoway interactions with school lunch subsidy were not significant, but the interaction between location and race/ethnicity was significant (P<.05) for sedentary and vigorous activity, moderate and vigorous activity, and MET-weighted MVPA with the 4.6-MET cut point. Visual examination of plots of residuals indicated that African American girls in Arizona were likely responsible for the interaction between location and race/ethnicity. African American girls living in Arizona were more active than African American girls in other locations.

Table 3 shows the percentage of girls meeting a physical activity guideline, which differed markedly depending on the operational definition used. Using the guideline of 60 min/d at or above the 4.6-MET cut point, we found that less than 1% of girls met the guideline. However, when the lower cut points of 3.8 and 3.0 METs were used with the same requirement of 60 minutes, the per-

Table 2. Minutes of Physical Activity and MET-Weighted Minutes of Physical Activity According to Geographic Location, Ethnicity, and Receipt of Subsidy for School Lunch*

Characteristic	Sedentary	Light	Moderate	Vigorous	MVPA (≥4.6 METs)	MET-Weighted MVPA (≥4.6 METs)
Location						
<i>P</i> value	.003	.009	<.001	.002	<.001	<.001
California	453.3 (5.6)	347.0 (5.8)	20.5 (0.7)	6.7 (0.4)	27.1 (1.0)	166.7 (7.1)
Arizona	438.9 (6.0)	346.8 (6.0)	20.8 (0.8)	6.9 (0.4)	27.7 (1.1)	173.5 (7.5)
Minnesota	456.0 (5.8)	351.2 (5.9)	18.1 (0.7)	4.8 (0.4)	22.9 (1.1)	136.7 (7.2)
Louisiana	465.4 (5.7)	349.1 (5.8)	16.3 (0.7)	5.2 (0.4)	21.5 (1.1)	134.2 (7.1)
South Carolina	473.6 (5.7)	321.7 (5.8)	15.7 (0.7)	5.1 (0.4)	20.8 (1.1)	130.1 (7.2)
Maryland	470.4 (6.0)	334.2 (6.0)	17.4 (0.8)	5.1 (0.4)	22.5 (1.1)	137.8 (7.5)
Ethnicity	~ /	× /	~ /	· · · ·		()
P value	.09	.99	.004	.001	.001	.001
Asian American	478.8 (9.0)	340.0 (7.5)	14.6 (1.1)	3.9 (0.6)	18.5 (1.6)	110.8 (10.8)
African American	465.2 (4.6)	342.8 (4.2)	18.0 (0.6)	5.7 (0.3)	23.7 (0.9)	146.6 (6.0)
Hispanic	456.5 (4.5)	340.8 (4.1)	17.7 (0.6)	4.9 (0.3)	22.6 (0.9)	137.3 (5.8)
White	457.0 (3.5)	341.7 (3.3)	18.6 (0.5)	5.9 (0.3)	24.6 (0.7)	152.7 (4.8)
Other	459.3 (6.5)	342.4 (5.6)	18.4 (0.8)	6.1 (0.4)	24.5 (1.2)	152.2 (8.0)
School lunch subsidy	~ /	× /	~ /	· · · ·		()
<i>P</i> value	.49	.59	.23	.01	.07	.03
No	458.8 (3.5)	341.8 (3.3)	18.5 (0.5)	6.0 (0.2)	24.5 (0.7)	152.5 (4.5)
Yes	458.9 (3.7)	342.8 (3.4)	17.8 (0.5)	5.3 (0.3)	23.1 (0.7)	140.9 (4.7)
Don't know	465.1 (5.5)	338.0 (4.7)	17.8 (0.7)	5.3 (0.4)	23.1 (1.0)	142.0 (6.7)
Overall	459.9 (1.7)	341.6 (1.4)	18.1 (0.2)	5.6 (0.1)	23.7 (0.3)	146.1 (2.1)

Abbreviations: METs, metabolic equivalents; MVPA, moderate to vigorous physical activity.

*Data are given as adjusted mean (SE). Data are adjusted for clustering of girls nested within schools; overall means are unadjusted. The first row of *P* values refers to tests of the equality of mean activity across site; the second row, tests of the equality of mean activity across race groups; and the third row, tests of the equality of mean activity between girls who did or did not receive free or reduced-price school lunches.

centage increased to 11.8% and 87.7%, respectively. Reducing the amount of time of MVPA from 60 to 30 minutes resulted in 16.1% of girls meeting the standard when the 4.6-MET cut point was used. When the 3.8- and 3.0-MET cut points were used, 73.3% and 100.0% of girls met the standard. The differences were highly significant for all pairwise comparisons.

COMMENT

This study is the first to use an objective measure of physical activity to describe the physical activity levels of a large and diverse sample of American youth. Accelerometers were worn by more than 1500 sixth-grade girls in 6 widely separated geographic locations. Intensity-weighted time spent in MVPA was higher in girls residing in western states compared with those living in other regions. Activity was higher in white girls than in ethnic minority groups. Also, when we used school lunch subsidy as an indicator of socioeconomic status, girls in the higher socioeconomic stratum were more active than girls in the lower socioeconomic status group.

The overall sample of sixth-grade girls engaged in an average of 24 minutes of MVPA per day. Of this total, less than 6 min/d were of vigorous intensity. Several expert panels have recommended that youth participate in at least 60 minutes of MVPA per day, and this recommendation was recently reaffirmed by a panel convened by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.¹³ The findings of this study suggest that most sixth-grade girls are well below the prevailing public health guide-line for physical activity. However, it should be noted that,

in the present study as in previous studies, physical activity showed high interindividual variability. With a standard deviation for daily minutes of MVPA of 11.8 minutes, we could expect 95% of girls to accumulate approximately 0 to 50 minutes of MVPA (±2 SD of the mean). The observation that physical activity levels differed across geographic areas is consistent with previous surveys based on self-report measures. In the 2003 Youth Risk Behavior Survey, high school girls in the western states reported participating in more vigorous physical activity than did girls in other regions.¹⁵ Girls in the southern states reported the lowest level of vigorous physical activity, and those in the eastern and midwestern states reported activity levels between those of girls in the western and southern regions. A similar pattern was observed in the present study. Activity levels were lowest in South Carolina and Louisiana, slightly higher in Maryland and Minnesota, and highest in Arizona and California. The geographic pattern for time spent being sedentary was, in general, inversely related to the time spent in MVPA, with girls in Arizona and California spending less time being sedentary than girls at the other sites.

Results of previous studies showed that white adolescents were more physically active than adolescents from other race/ethnic groups,^{20,25} and results of a national survey showed white youth reported more vigorous physical activity than African American or Hispanic youth.¹⁵ In TAAG, white girls had slightly higher minutes of moderate and vigorous physical activity than girls in the other race/ethnic groups and had the highest MET-weighted MVPA. White girls had a higher prevalence than African American or Hispanic girls of meeting the 60Table 3. Adjusted Percentage of Girls Meeting Guidelines for MVPA According to Geographic Location, Ethnicity, and Receipt of Subsidy for School Lunch*

Characteristic	≥60 min/d†			≥ 30 min/d†			
	≥4.6 METs	≥3.8 METs	≥3.0 METs	≥4.6 METs	≥3.8 METs	≥3.0 METs	
Location							
<i>P</i> value	.53	.008	.01	<.001	.004	.99	
California	0.3	15.4	95.1	24.0	85.7	99.8	
Arizona	1.3	19.5	91.9	25.3	75.5	100	
Minnesota	0	7.3	91.4	12.6	80.0	100	
Louisiana	1.4	12.6	87.2	11.9	70.1	100	
South Carolina	0.4	5.2	79.4	8.4	61.5	100	
Maryland	0.4	12.1	84.2	15.2	68.4	100	
Ethnicity							
<i>P</i> value	.66	.09	.19	.03	.007	.99	
Asian American	0	1.7	82.3	4.8	55.5	100	
African American	1.2	13.9	90.6	20.2	74.8	100	
Hispanic	0	12.0	87.1	13.0	70.5	99.9	
White	0.6	10.1	80.0	15.0	76.7	100	
Other	1.6	14.6	87.9	17.8	77.4	100	
School lunch subsidy							
<i>P</i> value	.87	.01	.84	.55	.64	.88	
No	0.6	12.8	89.2	16.6	73.0	99.9	
Yes	0.8	11.5	88.5	14.7	74.9	99.9	
Don't know	0.5	5.3	89.9	14.3	76.0	100	
Overall	0.6	11.8	87.7	16.1	73.3	100	

Abbreviations: METs, metabolic equivalents; MVPA, moderate to vigorous physical activity.

*Adjusted for clustering of girls nested within schools; overall proportions are unadjusted. The first row of *P* values refers to tests of the equality of the proportion of girls who meet the guidelines for MVPA across site; the second row, tests of the equality of the proportion of girls who meet the guidelines for MVPA across race groups; and the third row, tests of the equality of the proportion of girls who did or did not receive free or reduced-price school lunches.

†Number of minutes per day of MVPA 4 or more days per week.

minute MVPA guidelines. However, the differences among white, African American, and Hispanic girls were small for minutes of moderate and vigorous physical activity considered separately. Few investigators have evaluated the physical activity behavior of Asian American girls. Although the present sample was relatively small, Asian American girls consistently had the lowest levels of physical activity and highest levels of sedentary behavior. These findings of race/ethnic differences in large samples of adolescents by using an objective measure of physical activity confirm the disparities reported in studies that used self-report measures.³¹⁻³³ These disparities appear to increase as minority youth move from adolescence to adulthood,³¹ so interventions to promote physical activity in minority adolescents should be designated a public health priority. Research to improve understanding of the reasons for these disparities in physical activity also is needed.

Findings on the relationship between socioeconomic status and physical activity in adolescents have been inconsistent,²⁰ possibly because a wide range of indicators of socioeconomic status have been used. Results of a large national survey, however, showed that vigorous physical activity was directly related to family income and educational level, after adjusting for other variables.³¹ In TAAG, reported free or reduced-price lunch was used as an indicator of family income, although approximately 12% of girls did not know their free or reduced-price lunch status. We found no variation in physical activity according to lunch subsidy status for sedentary, light, and moderate physical activity. However, girls who received no lunch subsidy engaged in significantly (P=.01) more vigorous physical activity than those who received a subsidy, similar to the findings in a national survey.³¹ This finding suggests that lower income adolescent girls may be less physically active, but the mean difference in the present study was small. A prudent interpretation is that adolescent-reported lunch subsidy status did not permit an adequate test of the relationship of socioeconomic status to physical activity.

One of the most notable findings of the present study was the effect of different accelerometer scoring protocols on estimates of physical activity. Although there is reasonable consensus that 3.0 METs is an appropriate cut point for moderate-intensity physical activity in adults,¹ there is no such consensus in youth. Resting energy expenditure in children is higher than the assumed value of 3.5 mL/kg per minute for adults, so a value of 3.0 METs represents a lower relative activity level for youth. Differences in metabolic and biomechanical efficiency because of growth and maturation³⁴ also alter the relationship between accelerometer counts and energy expenditure. A detailed calibration study conducted by the TAAG investigators determined that a value of 4.6 METs provides an appropriate cut point for moderateintensity physical activity in adolescent girls.¹⁹ Operationally, this cut point was established by using accelerometer values that distinguished between a slow and a brisk walk. The activity levels reported with this cut point are considerably lower than those reported in other studies,^{17,35} but this result is because of the differences in cut points used in the different studies.

By definition, cut points impart a somewhat artificial categorization to continuous data. To provide an indication of how the cut point can influence the results, the data were analyzed by using 3.0- and 3.8-MET cut points, the former being a value commonly used in other studies.¹⁷ The percentage of girls meeting the current physical activity standard for youth (ie, 60 minutes of MVPA per day) varied dramatically, depending on the accelerometry cut point for moderate-intensity physical activity. With the 4.6-MET cut point, almost no girls met the standard. However, if a 3.0-MET cut point was used, 87.7% of girls met the standard. If the adult guideline (30 min/d) was used, all the girls met the standard with a 3.0-MET cut point, but only 16.1% met the 30-minute goal with the 4.6-MET cut point. Using the 3.8-MET cut point yielded compliance rates that were intermediate to those obtained with 4.6 and 3.0 METs but with a different pattern for the 60- and 30-minute standards. Only 11.8% of girls met the 60-minute 3.8-MET standard, but most of them met the 30-minute 3.8-MET standard (73.3%). The difference in compliance estimates because of choice of cut points makes it difficult to draw definitive conclusions about the activity patterns in these youth. The cut point of 3.0 provides a liberal estimate of activity patterns and favors sensitivity over specificity, whereas the 3.8 and 4.6 cut points provide more conservative estimates and favor specificity over sensitivity. Misclassification is always a concern when attempting to determine compliance with public health recommendations, and the dramatic differences demonstrate the need to develop consensus guidelines for reducing and reporting accelerometer data. In addition, conceptual and empirical work are likely to be needed to define health-related physical activity for youth. At present, physical activity guidelines for youth are based on a body of knowledge that experts agree is too limited.¹³ Hence, there is a need to strengthen the basis for physical activity guidelines and adopt improved methods for monitoring compliance with those guidelines.

Although absolute determinations of activity levels must be made with caution, this study demonstrates the potential for large-scale surveillance of activity patterns with accelerometers. Data from large and ethnically diverse samples were collected in a standardized way from 6 different regions throughout the United States. Obtaining objective physical activity information for 6 days is a major strength because this time frame is sufficient to capture reliable patterns.³⁶ Sophisticated data reduction procedures and imputation methods were used to improve the accuracy and stability of the reported values. Using cut points for MVPA that were empirically determined specifically for adolescent girls is another strength. As the data suggest, the proportion of girls meeting national recommendations varies substantially on the basis of the MET cut point used. Given the increasing epidemic of obesity in adolescents,37,38 it is likely that the percentage of girls achieving sufficient physical activity is reflective of the data reported by using the 4.6-MET cut point. Without having conducted this prior work and being able to use this cut point, the data would suggest almost 90% of sixth-grade girls met recommendations.

Some limitations in the design should be noted. First, the data cannot be considered representative of youth in these regions. The participating TAAG sites were from predominantly urban settings, so the participating schools tended to be from the densely populated metropolitan areas. Second, subtle differences in population profiles, community characteristics, and seasonality could explain the interaction observed between location and ethnicity, but additional research will be needed to understand these influences and to capture possible differences between rural and urban youth. Designs that permit linkages with geographic information system and census data may be particularly helpful in examining the influence of social, environmental, and policy variables on physical activity. The results are limited in that not all geographic regions of the country were represented. Nevertheless, an ethnic/ racially diverse sample was obtained. Although the schools were not randomly selected, the girls within the schools were randomly selected. Because girls were sampled from middle schools that met eligibility criteria for participation in the TAAG trial, there is a loss of generalizability. The TAAG schools offered physical education at all grade levels, and girls who participate in physical education may be more active than girls who do not.

The present study demonstrated the feasibility of using accelerometers to collect objective physical activity data in a large number of adolescents. Race/ethnic disparities in physical activity levels were documented, with white girls having slightly higher activity levels than girls of ethnic minority groups. On average, girls living in the West were more physically active than those in other parts of the United States. The difficulty of estimating the prevalence of meeting physical activity guidelines was demonstrated by the dramatic effect of different accelerometer protocols on prevalence rates. Because there is no consensus on the most suitable protocols, a high priority should be given to research to guide the development of accelerometer scoring protocols that can be applied across all ages.

Accepted for Publication: August 3, 2006.

Correspondence: Russell R. Pate, PhD, Department of Exercise Science, University of South Carolina, 921 Assembly St, Columbia, SC 29208 (rpate@gwm.sc.edu). Author Contributions: Dr Pate had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Study concept and design: Pate, Pratt, Sallis, Schmitz, Webber, and Young. Acquisition of data: Stevens, Schmitz, and Webber. Analysis and interpretation of data: Welk. Drafting of the manuscript: Pate, Stevens, Pratt, Sallis, Schmitz, and Welk. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Pratt, Schmitz, Webber, Welk, and Young. Statistical analysis: Stevens and Webber. Obtained funding: Pate, Stevens, Sallis, Webber, and Young. Administrative, technical, and material support: Pate, Pratt, Sallis, Webber, and Welk. Study supervision: Pate. Financial Disclosure: None reported.

Funding/Support: The study was supported by grant 5U01HL066852 from the National Institutes of Health. Acknowledgment: We thank Leslie Lytle, PhD, Univer-

sity of Minnesota, Minneapolis, and Timothy Lohman, PhD, University of Arizona, Tucson, for their leadership of the TAAG field centers in Minnesota and Arizona and Gave Groover Christmus, MPH, University of South Carolina, Columbia, for assistance in developing and editing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. US Department of Health and Human Services. Physical Activity and Health: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, Ga: US Dept of Health and Human Services/ Centers for Disease Control; 1996.
- 2. Physical activity and cardiovascular health: NIH Consensus Development Panel on Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Health. JAMA. 1996;276:241-246.
- Williams CL, Hayman LL, Daniels SR, et al. Cardiovascular health in childhood: a statement for health professionals from the Committee on Atherosclerosis, Hypertension, and Obesity in the Young (AHOY) of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, American Heart Association [published correction appears in Circulation. 2002;106:1178]. Circulation. 2002;106:143-160.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2010. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: US Dept of Health and Human Services; 2000.
- 5. Rowland TW. The role of physical activity and fitness in children in the prevention of adult cardiovascular disease. Prog Pediatr Cardiol. 2001;12:199-203.
- 6. Hager RL, Tucker LA, Seljaas GT. Aerobic fitness, blood lipids, and body fat in children. Am J Public Health. 1995;85:1702-1706.
- 7. Hagberg JM, Goldring D, Ehsani AA, et al. Effect of exercise training on the blood pressure and hemodynamic features of hypertensive adolescents. Am J Cardiol. 1983:52:763-768.
- 8. Armstrong N, Simons-Morton B. Physical activity and blood lipids in adolescents. Pediatric Exerc Sci. 1994;6:381-405.
- Calfas KJ, Taylor WC. Effects of physical activity on psychological variables in adolescents. *Pediatric Exerc Sci.* 1994;6:406-423. 9
- 10. Corbin CB, Pangrazzi RP. Physical Activity for Children: A Statement of Guidelines. Reston, Va: National Association for Sport and Physical Education; 1998.
- Sallis JF, Patrick K. Physical activity guidelines for adolescents: consensus 11. statement. Pediatric Exerc Sci. 1994;6:302-314.
- 12. Health Education Authority. Policy framework for young people and healthenhancing physical activity. In: Biddle S, Sallis JF, Cavill NA, eds. Young and Active? Young People and Health-Enhancing Physical Activity-Evidence and Implications. London, England: Health Education Authority; 1998:3.
- 13. Strong WB, Malina RM, Blimkie CJ, et al. Evidence based physical activity for school-age youth. J Pediatr. 2005;146:732-737.
- 14. Grunbaum JA, Kann L, Kinchen SA, et al. Youth risk behavior surveillance-United States, 2001. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2002;51:1-62.
- 15. Grunbaum JA, Kann L, Kinchen SA, et al. Youth risk behavior surveillance-United States, 2003 [published corrections appear in MMWR Surveill Summ. 2004;53:536 and 2005;54:608]. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2004;53:1-96.
- Sallis JF, Saelens BE. Assessment of physical activity by self-report: status, limi-16. tations, and future directions [published correction appears in Res Q Exerc Sport. 2000;71:409]. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2000;71(2 suppl):S1-S14.
- 17. Pate RR, Freedson PS, Sallis JF, et al. Compliance with physical activity guidelines: prevalence in a population of children and youth. Ann Epidemiol. 2002; 12:303-308
- 18. Welk GJ. Use of accelerometry-based activity monitors to assess physical activity.

In: Welk GJ, ed. Physical Activity Assessments for Health-related Research. Champaign, Ill: Human Kinetics; 2002.

- 19. Treuth MS, Schmitz K, Catellier DJ, et al. Defining accelerometer thresholds for
- activity intensities in adolescent girls. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2004;36:1259-1266. 20. Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2000;32:963-975.
- 21. Sallis JF, Zakarian JM, Hovell MF, Hofstetter CR. Ethnic, socioeconomic, and sex differences in physical activity among adolescents. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996; 49:125-134.
- 22. Aaron DJ, Kriska AM, Dearwater SR, et al. The epidemiology of leisure physical activity in an adolescent population. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1993;25:847-853.
- Pate RR, Heath GW, Dowda M, Trost SG. Associations between physical activity and other health behaviors in a representative sample of US adolescents. Am J Public Health. 1996;86:1577-1581.
- 24. Gordon-Larsen P, McMurray RG, Popkin BM. Determinants of adolescent physical activity and inactivity patterns. Pediatrics. 2000;105:e83 http:// pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/content/full/105/6/e83. Accessed September 8, 2006
- 25. Kimm SY, Glynn NW, Kriska AM, et al. Decline in physical activity in black girls and white girls during adolescence. N Engl J Med. 2002;347:709-715.
- 26. Murray DM, Catellier DJ, Hannan PJ, et al. School-level intraclass correlation for physical activity in adolescent girls. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2004;36:876-882.
- 27. Catellier DJ, Hannan PJ, Murray DM, et al. Imputation of missing data when measuring physical activity by accelerometry. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2005;37(suppl 11):S555-S562.
- 28. Trost SG, Kerr LM, Ward DS, Pate RR. Physical activity and determinants of physical activity in obese and non-obese children. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001; 25:822-829.
- Thompson AM, Campagna PD, Rehman LA, Murphy RJ, Rasmussen RL, Ness 29 GW. Physical activity and body mass index in grade 3, 7, and 11 Nova Scotia students. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2005;37:1902-1908.
- 30. Pate RR, Pratt M, Blair SN, et al. Physical activity and public health: a recommendation from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American College of Sports Medicine. JAMA. 1995;273:402-407.
- 31. Pratt M, Macera CA, Blanton C. Levels of physical activity and inactivity in children and adults in the United States: current evidence and research issues. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1999;31(suppl 11):S526-S533.
- 32. Dowda M, Pate RR, Felton GM, et al. Physical activities and sedentary pursuits in African American and Caucasian girls. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2004;75:352-360.
- 33. Felton GM, Dowda M, Ward DS, et al. Differences in physical activity between black and white girls living in rural and urban areas. J Sch Health. 2002;72: 250-255
- 34. Sallis JF, Buono MJ, Freedson PS. Bias in estimating caloric expenditure from physical activity in children: implications for epidemiological studies. Sports Med. 1991;11:203-209
- Riddoch CJ, Bo Andersen L, Wedderkopp N, et al. Physical activity levels and 35. patterns of 9- and 15-vr-old European children. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2004: 36.86-92
- 36. Trost SG, Pate RR, Freedson PS, Sallis JF, Taylor WC. Using objective physical activity measures with youth: how many days of monitoring are needed? Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2000;32:426-431.
- 37. Hedley AA, Ogden CL, Johnson CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among US children, adolescents, and adults, 1999-2002. JAMA. 2004;291:2847-2850.
- Ogden CL, Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Johnson CL. Prevalence and trends in over-38. weight among US children and adolescents, 1999-2000. JAMA. 2002;288: 1728-1732.

WWW.ARCHPEDIATRICS.COM

"Another father might tell you to put that coat on and zip it up."

"Just tell me then! Pretend you're the kind of father who tells his kid to put on her coat so she won't catch a cold.'

"We don't tell you what to do. If you want to get chilled, it's really none of our business."

Since when did reverse psychology work on me?"

"Do you want to talk about it?"

-From My Latest Grievance by Elinor Lippman, 2006